

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/680,108 10/08/2003		Ta-Kuang Yeh	OP-092000277	4465	_
46103 75	590 07/05/2005		EXAMINER		
HDSL	C DATTI E I ANE		STERLING, AMY JO		
4331 STEVENS BATTLE LANE FAIRFAX, VA 22033			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
**************************************			3632		
		DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005	DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/680,10	08	YEH, TA-KUANG				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	•	Art Unit				
		Amy J. S	erling	3632				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commus period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev nication. days, a reply within the stat utory period will apply and w ill, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	I on <i>14 April 2005</i> .						
· · —		b)☐ This action is n	on-final.					
3)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3, 5,6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) ion to the drawing(s) the correction is required.	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have bee ocuments have bee f the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage			
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P ir No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		-152)			

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

This is the **Final Office Action** for application number 10/680,108 Support Structure, filed on 10/8/03. Claims 1-3,5 and 6 are pending. This **Final Office Action** is in response to applicant's reply dated 4/14/05. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1 recites "an elongate body member" in line 2 and "the elongate member" in line 7. Although it appears that the terms are referring to the same element, in order to be clear, the terminology for referring to the same element should remain the same throughout the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to

Art Unit: 3632

one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 1 recites that "an elongate body member....being bendable and foldable into a plurality of shapes". This subject matter was not included in the original disclosure and therefore constitutes new matter and must be cancelled from the claim.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites that the "elongate body...is bendable and foldable into a plurality of shapes..." and it is unclear as to what is meant by a "plurality of shapes".

Claim 1 recites, "two ends of the elongate member" in line 6 and 7 and then later recites that "the exterior covering member has a diameter gradually recited from two ends to a center thereof" and it appears to be referring to the "ends" of the cover not the elongated body member, the "ends of the cover" which have not been previously recited.

Art Unit: 3632

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-3,5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 5810306 to Hung et al. and in view of United States Publication No. 2004/0047052 to Zadro

Hung et al. teaches every feature of the claimed invention including an elongate body member (10) made of a flexible aluminum material (See Col. 3, line 2-3) with a roughened surface and being bendable and foldable into a plurality of shapes while it retains the bent shape until subsequent repositions, an exterior cover member (41) made of soft plastic material (See Col. 3 line 48) directly formed on the elongate body (the process by which the device is formed is not given structural weight as pertaining to the "injection molding" of claim 1) and has a bellow configuration wherein the exterior covering member exposes two exposed ends (48) of the elongate member, wherein the exterior covering member had diameter gradually reduced from the two ends (48) to a center thereof and a holding device (50) connected to the other exposed end of the elongate body member.

Hung et al. does not teach a suction disk device connected to one of the exposed end of the elongate body member.

Hung et al discloses an elongate body member (33) having two exposed ends with a suction disk device (55) connected to an exposed end of the elongate body member, the suction disk used to removably attach the elongate body member to a desired surface. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 3632

to have added a suction device to an exposed end of the elongate body member in order to be able to attach device to the desired surface.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Amy J. Sterling at telephone number 571-272-6823. The examiner can normally be reached (M-F 8 a.m.-5:00 p.m.). If attempts to

Art Unit: 3632

Page 6

reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached at 571-272-6815. The fax machine number for the Technology center is 703-872-9306 (formal amendments) or 571-273-6823 (informal amendments and communications). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist at 571-272-3600.

Amy J. Sterling 6/22/05